two chromosomes of one of the parental species have been added to the complete genome of the other. Speciation correlated with the addition of the equivalent of whole chromosomes is now well established for the genus Clarkia (Lewis 1953a, b, and 1954) and will be further investigated in Mentzelia.
Section Mentzelia. One species in this section, Mentzelia arborescens Urban \& Gilg has been examined cytologically and found to have 14 pairs of chromosomes. These chromosomes are all approximately the same size and do not fall into two distinct size classes as in M. laevicaulis.
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## Literature Cited

Abrams, L. 1951. Illustrated flora of the Pacific states. 3:134-142. Stanford University.
Darlington, Josephine. 1934. A monograph of the genus Mentzelia. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 21:103-226.
Lewis, H. 1953a. The mechanism of evolution in the genus Clarkia. Evolution 7:1-20. . 1953b. Chromosome phylogeny and habitat preference of Clarkia. Evolution 7:102-109.
___ 1954. Quantitative variation in wild genotypes of Clarkia. International Union of Biological Sciences. Series B, No. 15. Symposium on Genetics of Population Structure.
Lewis, H. and R. Snow. 1951. A cytotaxonomic approach to Eschscholtzia. Madroño 11:141-143.
Sugiura, T. 1936. Studies on the chromosome numbers in higher plants, with specific reference to cytokinesis, I. Cytologia 7:590.

## CHROMOSOME COUNTS IN THE SECTION SIMIOLUS OF THE GENUS MIMULUS (SCROPHULARIACEAE)

## Robert K. Vickery, Jr.

This report ${ }^{1}$ on the determination of chromosome numbers in the section Simiolus of the genus Mimulus is an integral part of a long range investigation into the taxonomy, genetics, and evolution of species in Mimulus (Vickery, 1951). The chromosome study was undertaken in order to improve our understanding of the many genetic barriers known to be present in the genus, particularly the ones in the most intensively studied section, Simiolus (Vickery, 1952). Mimulus chromosomes are small, averaging about three-fourths of a micron long by one-half micron wide and are therefore relatively difficult to study. Hence, it was necessary to develop a special technique for this investigation.

The chromosome counts were obtained by smearing anthers and observing meiosis in the pollen mother cells. The procedure consisted of

[^0]Table 1. Chromosome Counts in Mimulus, Section Simiolus

```
                                    New Counts
n=14 M.guttatus DC.
    Pacific Grove, Monterey County, California, altitude 5 feet, Vickery 1
        (5001).
    Chew's Ridge, Monterey County, California, altitude 4500 feet, Vick-
        ery 3 (5004).
    Wendover, Tooele County, Utah, altitude 4300 feet, W. P. Cottam,
        June 6, 1953 (5852).
    Salt Lake City, Salt Lake County, Utah, altitude }4400\mathrm{ feet, Vickery 330
        (5834)
    Fish Haven, Bear Lake County, Idaho, altitude }6100\mathrm{ feet, Vickery 332
        (5837).
    n}=14 M. tilingii Regel
        Little Cottonwood Canyon, Salt Lake County, Utah, altitude }8800\mathrm{ feet,
        Vickery 337 (5846).
    n=14 M.glabratus var. utahensis Pennell
        Mono Lake, Mono County, California, altitude 6440 feet, Steb-
        bins }714\mathrm{ (5048).
                        Previously Reported Counts
2n = ca. 64 M. luteus L. and its horticultural varieties,M. tigrinus and M. tigri-
        noides (Brozek, 1932).
2n=48 M. guttatus DC. (Maude, 1939).
    n=14 M. guttatus DC., var. typicus Campbell, var. gracilis Campbell, var.
        grandis Greene (Campbell, 1950).
    n=14 M. guttatus DC. (G. L. Stebbins, Jr., personal communication). Mount
        Diablo, Contra Costa County, California, altitude 1000 feet. Steb-
        bins 703 (5052).
    n=14 M. nasutus Greene (G. L. Stebbins, Jr., personal communication). Hast-
        ings Reservation, Monterey County, California, altitude 1800 feet,
        Stebbins 701 (5044).
```

fixing buds in a fluid made up of two parts absolute ethanol to one part propionic acid. Fixations were made from 2:00 to 3:00 p.m. as that was apparently the optimum time. The proper bud size for fixation varied from 1.0 to 6.5 millimeters depending upon the particular race. The buds were left in the fixative for approximately one hour and then were transferred to a propio-orcein stain for approximately 30 minutes. The stain consisted of a 2 per cent solution of natural orcein dye in 45 per cent propionic acid. After staining, the small buds were smeared directly while the large buds were dissected and only the anthers smeared. The slides were put in an ethanol vapor chamber and then transferred to an ethanol bath to complete the dehydration. They were mounted in a synthetic resin, "Permount," supplied by the Fischer Scientific Company. The con-
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Fig. 1. Meiotic chromosomes of pollen mother cells of Mimulus. All are $\mathrm{n}=14$. Cultures 5001, 5004, 5834, 5837, 5852 represent different forms of $M$. guttatus while 5846 is a form of $M$. tilingii and 5048 of M. glabratus var. utahensis. The plants of 5834 and 5837 are in first metaphase while all the others are in second metaphase. For cultures in second metaphase the two figures were drawn only if the counts were clear in both. The camera lucida drawings were made at an original magnification of 1,750 diameters.
trast between the chromosomes and the cytoplasm improved for the first two days after staining and was still good after several months.

Pressed specimens of all the forms counted have been prepared for future reference and will be deposited in the Dudley Herbarium of Stanford University and the Garrett Herbarium of the University of Utah. The chromosome numbers were found to be $\mathrm{n}=14$ in the three species studied. The various forms of these species come from localities ranging from sea level to 8,800 feet altitude (see Table 1). The numbers in parentheses in Table 1 are the author's culture numbers and serve as cross references for these forms in papers presenting the results of the experimental work.

Campbell (1950), Stebbins (personal communication), and the present author agree that the chromosome numbers of the North American races of M. guttatus are $\mathrm{n}=14$ in contrast to Maude's (1939) report of $2 \mathrm{n}=48$ for material presumably of European origin. It will be interesting if this high but unrelated number of chromosomes is verified. There are strong indications that some of the American races have higher polyploid numbers although no unambiguous counts are presently available.

The chromosome counts reported here indicate that some of the strong genetic barriers in the section Simiolus (Vickery, 1952), namely, those
between M. guttatus, M. tilingii, and M. glabratus, are probably due to gene or cryptic structural differences rather than to differences in chromosome number. However, the latter reason appears to be the cause of the complete genetic barrier between these species and M. luteus. Work is in progress to obtain additional chromosome counts of the races and species of Mimulus in order to further our understanding of the nature of the many genetic barriers of various degrees known to be present in the genus.
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## NOTES ON NEVADA MIMULUS

## Gabriel Edwin

In the course of preparing the Scrophulariaceae for "Contributions Toward a Flora of Nevada," a few situations in Mimulus have come to my attention that require clarification.

## Mimulus bigelovii Gray

Mimulus bigelovii Gray var. ovatus Gray, Syn. Flora No. Am. ed. 2, Vol. 2, Pt. 1: Suppl. 445. 1886. Eunanus cusickii Greene, Pittonia 1:36. 1887; Mimulus cusickii (Greene) Piper, Contr. U. S. Nat. Herb. 11:508. 1906; Mimulus bigelovii var. cuspidatus Grant, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 11:279-280. 1924.

Grant (1924, pp. 281-282) maintained Mimulus cusickii (Greene) Piper and included in it, as a synonym, M. bigelovii var. ovatus Gray in its entirety. She also presented the new variety M. bigelovii var. cuspidatus. Study of the type specimens of $M$. bigelovii and M. bigelovii var. cuspidatus and of the specimens upon which the descriptions of $M$. bigelovii var. ovatus and M. cusickii were presumably based as well as study of general collections (mostly from Nevada) indicate that Greene's and Grant's entities can be considered conspecific with M. bigelovii var. ovatus and their proposed names treated as synonyms.
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